2018 Savaloja Grant Report

Minnesota Ornithologists Union

BREEDING BIRDS SURVEYS AT RESTORED AND NATIVE PRAIRIES IN DAKOTA AND WASHINGTON COUNTIES: A multi-year comparison

December 2018



Karen Schik Friends of the Mississippi River 101 East Fifth St, Suite 2000 St Paul, MN 55101

INTRODUCTION

Grassland birds are among the most imperiled in North America, due in part to the severe loss of available habitat from urban and rural development. Since 2002, FMR has been working on prairie restoration and enhancement projects to restore this plant community and the wildlife that depend on it at targeted sites in the Twin Cities metropolitan area. While we have good information on the plant community establishment, we do not often have data on wildlife species that have come to use these restored site.

An important measure of success of a prairie restoration is evidence that prairie-dependent animals are using a site for raising young. Birds have long been used as indicators of habitat quality for many reasons. They are easy to identify and there are many people who are qualified to do so, standard survey protocols have been established, and there are a wealth of long-term data available. Birds may also be better suited than other animals to reflect vegetation changes because they have a multi-year lifespan and a tendency to return to the same breeding sites each year.

Although multiple factors influence various bird species populations, and their presence or absence alone does not define the quality of the habitat, birds can serve as one indicator of the success of a habitat restoration project. Whenever possible, we have conducted breeding bird surveys before and after restoration to document the change in species that use the site. Of particular interest are the Species of Greatest Conservation Need (SGCNs) – so designated by the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources due to significant declines in their populations. Many prairie bird species fall into this category and we specifically focus on those species in this study.

OBJECTIVES

The primary objective of this project was to obtain comparative data on breeding birds at restored and native prairie sites, in order to observe long-term trends.

METHODS

Seven sites were selected, on private and public lands in Dakota County and southern Washington County, where FMR has on-going prairie restoration projects (Figure 1). Bird surveys are specifically targeted for the breeding season (June for the majority of species) because that is the time when the birds are most wholly dependent on the habitat to meet all their needs of raising young. FMR has completed breeding bird surveys at most of the sites for several years, with the exception of Cottage Grove Ravine Park, which was initiated in 2018. Data are not included for that site.

Two breeding bird surveys were conducted at each site in June, using either standard point count or transect methodology. Surveys were completed between dawn and 9:30 a.m.

Points were located at least 250 m apart; all species seen or heard within 50 m were recorded for 8 minutes. Transects were 200 m long and 50 m radius. Surveys were 20 minutes. For both methods, species beyond 50 m were recorded separately. In general, only data within 50 m were included in the analysis of this survey. At most of the sites, beyond 50 m may have included forest or other habitat types. We wanted as much as possible to evaluate just the prairie portion of the sites.




Figure 1. Survey sites.


RESULTS

The surveys have provided good indicators of recent successes. All of the sites showed an increase of SGCNs, ranging from 18 to 400 percent increases, and representing as many as 13 SGCNs species at one site. The state-endangered Henslow's sparrow was found at four sites, where it had not been recorded before. Two of those sites were former agricultural fields. Loggerhead shrike was found at two sites, though it likely had been present at one of those sites before. Bell's vireo, a special concern species, was found at three sites, two of which were former cropland.

Although only 28 acres in size, averaging just 8 bird species each year within the prairie, over half the birds dectected in some years have beenSGCNs, and the small site has harbored an endangered species and a special concern species (Figure 2). It demonstrates that even small prairie restoration can provide valuable habitat for prairiedependent birds.

Figure 2. Emrick Property






The 20-acre restoration at the Hastings Sand Coulee SNA South increased from 8 species per year average as cropland, to 13 species after restoration (Figure 3). SGCNs increased by 260%, from less than one species average per year as cropland to 2.5 after restoration. The adjacent native prairie population was stable, with slight annual fluctuations in species richness and SGCNs.

Figure 3. Hastings Sand Coulee SNA -south





At the North unit of the Sand Coulee SNA, SCGNs in the restored prairie clearly increased over the initial brome grassland condition, even exceeding the number of SGCNs in the native prairie in some years, with somewhat different species (Fig 4). Species richness and SGCNs in the native prairie also seemed to increase, possibly due to the increase in overall habitat provided by the adjacent restored prairie.





Figure 5. Hastings Sand Coulee SNA-NorthBreeding Birds at Oak Woodland Restoration (50 ac)

At the wooded portion of the Sand Coulee SNA north unit there was a modest increase in species richness and SGCNs as this buckthorn-infested woodland was restored (Fig 5). The numbers dropped off in 2018, possibly correlating to the increase in buckthorn as it regenerated.




The 3M site had the highest number of SGCNs (13 spp), many of which were found at the site every year (Fig 6). The restoration was too new to see any clear pattern of species richness, but the site overall had the highest richness of any grassland/prairie site.

Figure 6. 3M Cottage Grove
Breeding birds at 200-ac Grassland

■ Grassland spp Grassland SGCNs RESTO - No. spp Resto - SGCNs

		N	umber of years observ	red
	SGCNs	South	Mid	North
	American kestrel	1	2	
SPC	Bell's vireo		5	2
	Bobolink	5	1	1
	Brown Thrasher	6	6	4
	Chimney swift	1		
	Dickcissel	3	3	1
	Eastern meadowlark	6	6	6
	Field Sparrow	6	6	6
	Grasshopper Sparrow	6	6	1
Е	Henslow's sparrow		1	
Е	Loggerhead Shrike		1	
	No Rough-Winged Swallow	6	5	5
	Sedge wren		1	3
		9	12	9

Although SGCNs were sparse at the Wilmar site, the restoration had higher species richness (Fig 7) than the similar-sized Emrick site, possibly due to the proximity of a nearby restored prairie and the Vermillion River. The temporary decline in species richness seemed to correlate with an increase in young tree cover at the prairie (removed in 2016). We do not have data from the years prior to restoration, so data start with the year it was seeded.



For all of the prairie sites for which we have data prior to restoration, we have seen a clear increase in species richness in the years following restoration (Figure 8). Multi-year data were averaged for the years before and after restoration (data from the first year of new prairie restoration were not included). The number of SGCNs species also increased at every site, up to four times what it had been prior to restoration.




Figure 8. SGCNs before and after prairie restoration

CONCLUSIONS

While the primary objective for this project was simply to gather data as part of a longer-term project, because most of our sites now have several years of data the information from 2018 helps us to see clear trends at our prairie restoration sites.

The data indicate that:

- Species richness of prairie birds increases as prairie vegetation is established after either cropland or old field.
- Numbers of SGCNs species likewise increase considerably.
- Native remnant prairies may benefit from adjacent prairie restorations, with increased species richness and SGCNs.
- Even relatively small sites (e.g. 25 acres) demonstrate important value for prairiedependent bird species, including endangered species.

• On-going habitat management seems to be important; sites where woody plant cover increased showed declines in prairie bird species.

While these data provide good preliminary evidence that FMRs prairie restoration projects are successful in providing habitat for prairie-dependent bird species, additional research is needed to evaluate nest success and determine actual population effects. Additional data analysis of bird guilds or other parameters could also be done to better understand more specific habitat niches that are being utilized and potential gaps.

BUDGET

Expendit	ures	Income		
item	Amount	Source	Amount	
staff expenses	\$3,645	3M	\$800	
		State grants	\$540	
Travel expenses	\$98	FMR funds	.\$878.	
		Minnesota Ornithologists Union	\$1,000	
		Volunteer	\$525	
Total expenses \$3,743		Total income	\$3,743.	

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge the following funders for making this study possible:

- Minnesota Ornithologist Union (MOU)
- 3M Cottage Grove
- The Minnesota Environment and Natural Resources Trust Fund as recommended by the Legislative-Citizen Commission on Minnesota Resources (LCCMR)

And the following individuals for their expertise and field assistance:

- Tom Lewanski, Friends of the Mississippi River (formerly), bird expert
- Betsy Daub, FMR bird expert
- Kevin Smith, bird expert
- Alex Lewanski, bird expert
- Annie Dubner, FMR intern
- Camille Herteux, FMR intern

A summary of this study, acknowledging support of the MOU, was published on FMR's website in the December 2018 Mississippi Messages (http://FMR.org *Bird surveys reveal steady increases at FMR prairie restorations*). This publication has a circulation of over 12,000.